Monday, October 25, 2021 6-8pm

Agenda

- Lift Up & Discuss Issues
 - Accurately capture themes from our last meeting?
 - How are beneficiaries being negatively impacted on Hawaiian Home Lands?
 How can we correct that?
 - How might the Department be a supporter on these issues? What is the Department's position on these issues?
- Review Lease & Discussion
 - What are your questions and comments regarding the lease?
- Determine Areas for Further Discussion
 - Which of the above issues may connect with lease provisions?
 - Which of the above issues may be outside of lease provisions?
 - Which of the above issues might be opportunities to collaborate on in the near term?
- Next Steps
 - Others to add to WG?
 - Adding an alternate?
 - o Next meeting?

Attendees

- Working Group
 - Joseph Lapilio
 - Mona Kapaku
 - o Elroy Mollena
 - Tess Mollena
 - Lori Buchanan
 - Walter Ritte
 - Halealoha Ayau
- Guests
 - Keani Rawlins-Fernandez
- DHHL
 - Andrew Choy
 - Cedric Duarte
 - Shelly Carreira
 - Nancy McPherson
- HACBED
 - BK
 - o MK

Notes

- AC: NM been lead planner at Department, helping with Kalaupapa
 - BK facilitator

- CD comm relations office
- I think Shelly will be joining us from Land Management Division (LMD)
 - Might be having tech difficulties signing on
- Will start to record meeting
- Go over agenda for tonight
 - Recap things heard from 1st meeting in September
 - Feedback last time
 - You wanted to look at General Lease (GL) between Department and National Park Service (NPS)
 - Will go over quickly the GL
 - Thank you Lori for excellent comments and questions on the lease
 - During that time we'll go over things shared in email earlier today
 - Also heard from first meeting you wanted to have opportunity to talk about issues that have arisen between beneficiaries and NPS
 - 3rd bullet point we wanted to allow time for
 - Then talk about next steps
 - Wanted to acknowledge some of Lori's comments in her email about process
 - Questions about process
 - Question about purpose of Working Group (WG)
 - She references first meeting was focused on introducing proposed WG framework
 - Purpose and goal
 - Holding space for your feedback on process and objectives and identifying future stakeholders that should be included in future meetings
 - Purpose of meeting is to better gauge or design process where ben feel comfortable and heard as they want to advocate for better stewardship and management of Kalaupapa so beneficiary voices play greater role in the management of the area
 - General purpose is to better integrate voices into management of Kalaupapa
 - As part of that, in the first WG meeting, we wanted to identify or start conversation on process
 - Had articulated maybe first couple meetings would just be about process
 - Give you chance to shape process
 - That's why our agenda was formed based on feedback you gave us from first meeting to go over the GL
 - Also allow opportunity to identify issues that have been problematic for beneficiaries in their interaction with NPS

- Specifically Lori had question about whether we reached summary and conclusions at during first WG meeting proposed by Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) in invitation letter
 - Did everyone invited accept
 - If not, how many declined to participate
 - Is Department willing to share who invite letters were sent to
- For first part
 - Accomplished A, proposed WG framework of goals, subject to your feedback
- o For B and C, didn't get to those items
 - Opportunity for us to finish those items
 - With regards to who we sent letters to
 - Initially sent to those who participated in first meeting
 - Not wide audience
 - Wanted to hear from you first
 - It was our understanding you've been deeply involved in advocacy for Kalauapapa
 - Wanted you to have opportunity at the beginning to help us shape process for beneficiaries going forward
 - o Productive
 - Meaningful
 - Being heard
 - Hope I answered your questions Lori
- OK, if that's ok going to pass the meeting to Brent to go over recap of issues that were identified in the...
- Sorry ground rules
 - Respectful of other participants' mana'o
 - Please don't interrupt
 - Aware of air you take up in room
 - Respect viewpoints of others
 - Non agreement can be respectful process
 - There are opportunities for all of us to have robust conversation
 - Be respectful of other people's viewpoints
 - Commit to being active listeners
 - Conversation not as productive if we don't listen to one another
 - Been on multiply zoom meetings during pandemic, mute when not speaking
 - Be aware we may have other responsibilities going on in the room
- Thanks for that
- o Brent, take us thru issues shared by WG members during first meeting

- BK: Thanks Andrew for overview on the process
 - Recapping purpose
 - o These meetings were kind of engaging folks deeply rooted and tied to Kalaupapa
 - Your expertise to shape process going forward, knowing all you dealt with and are dealing with
 - These issues are what our team pulled out from first meeting
 - General, issues raised around
 - Access
 - Tied to different rights and privileges
 - Land use
 - Growing kalo and other traditional cultural uses
 - Residential
 - o Who can live and work in Kalaupapa
 - Tourism
 - Unfettered access
 - Potential canonization of Joseph Dutton
 - Community Voice
 - Different processes where NPS may or may not have had an ideal process to engage folks
 - o EIS / EA
 - Also integrated some of the other parking lot issues that came up
 - Energy plans
 - Enforcement in different places
 - Clarity on who has jurisdiction
 - Lease
 - Determine what's going on
 - Is NPS following lease
 - Others sense they may be violating
 - Get a better sense
 - So second half of this meeting to walk thru the lease to answer any questions
 - Potential legal action
 - Opportunity to engage allies on federal level
 - Issues around land ownership
 - What is DHHL's kuleana
 - Helpful to get your feedback on what you're seeing
 - DHHL team are open to answering questions or taking back to Attorney General (AG) to get better sense around legal duties
 - That was from last meeting
 - Looking at one of the parking lot issues from GMP
 - Issues around how NPS handled that process
 - Questions about their management of the land, including maintenance issues

- Questions of DHHL role
 - Folks here open to answering questions to clarify
- Quick recap of last meeting
- Wanted to build on that to see if you wanted to add more specific feedback around how beneficiaries are being negatively impacted on Hawaiian Home Lands (HHL) and how might the Department be a supporter in these issues
 - Aunty Lori brought up a bunch of those in the doc you sent
 - Some of the priority areas
- Department open to hearing what's going on
 - They have questions around what's going on
 - If they can get your feedback, they can look into more
- LB (chat): Yes please add the PA
- BK: Lori, you want to share more about please add PA
- LB: The Programmatic Agreement (PA) that was signed by DHHL
- BK: Yeah, what about it
- LB: That going be one big issue too
 - That's actually what the park is using in lieu of a GMP is the PA
 - o There's a lot of things wrong with the PA that will be adverse to beneficiaries
 - Gotta spend time on PA and GL, I think
 - All this other stuff is all residual that should've been included if park completed EIS
 - Didn't complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
 - Opted out for Environmental Assessment (EA) which was inadvertently replaced by the PA
 - Between the PA and GL is where rubber will hit the road
- AC: Wanted to clarify I am recording the meeting for those on the call
- LB: Thank you Andrew
 - We no can record ourselves, yeah?
- AC: Are people on call ok if other members record the meeting
 - o It was our intent to record the meeting and eventually post on the website
- LB: Was having people ask me about the meeting
 - If can have recording of meeting
 - Said I didn't have and have to wait for DHHL to post
 - They felt not timely enough for them and they getting fussy
 - Said can you record and I no like record but can for you
 - o If you going listen and take minutes from that, that's fine
 - I just doing what they asked me to do
 - If I can record the meeting
 - We can all record 'em
- HA: I not only don't have an issue with that, I think it should be encouraged
 - We want to be able to share with interested persons asap
 - o If DHHL can make available immediately then no need
 - o If not, if there's a delay, then I agree with Lori

- When people ask, they so busy not going be able to circle back and ask what's the status
- If able to just share, more power to our discussion that more people are aware of it
- LB (chat): that's it Halealoha...
- AC: Will try to post recording on our website sooner than later
- CD: I can get it done quickly, Andrew
 - Just pop it over and I should be able to get it out
 - o That way it's all housed in one place
 - o Don't have to wonder where the link is
 - o If anything like me trying to find link in my email, I'll never find it
- HA: Same here
- AC: Thank you for that Cedric
- WR: Trying to figure out what we gonna do
 - Business about tourism in Hawai'i become like an albatross around necks and trying to figure out that tourism doesn't make us unhealthy and wish they'd all disappear
 - o Issue with Kalaupapa using HHL and the value of Kalaupapa
 - All these issues we listing if we start trying to figure out how to solve all the issues gonna take us years
 - Would like to see another track for how we going get rid of this park
 - How we gonna take it back
 - How HH will take it back
 - Different battleground that will take different kinds of efforts
 - Effort we talking about trying to make things better because we have to live with the park
 - Not interested in that
 - Interested how we can take back land and make better for people of Moloka'i
 - Would like to encourage 2nd track where people can choose where to put their energy
 - Lori also putting energy into trying to get as much people on Moloka'i to understand what's going on and to solve problems that came about with this process
 - Trying to work with NPS
 - With President Trump
 - Only emphasized need for us to get out of this relationship
 - Want to figure out a serious track on building our case in getting rid of this park
- LB (chat): I agree with Walter. I have always provided written testimony stating NPS should not have management of Kalaupapa.
 - Please read the letter from Bryan Harry to Superintendent Stein I sent to everyone
- CD: Uncle Walter, for clarity do you mean NPS as a whole, beyond current landholding that they have with DHHL

- WR: This is kinda like a new park only because Inouye had a lot of clout that they
 actually took it
 - Costing too much money
 - Small little park
 - Doesn't have high priority compared to other parks
- CD: Understood
- WR: Politically we can get enough clout to take back the park, the land, from this crazy idea of giving it to the USA so all these tourists can come over here
 - Not keen about using our best lands and sharing it with tourists
 - o It's just, I don't think that's the right thing for Kalaupapa
- BK: Thanks Uncle Walter and I saw Aunty Lori in the chat what you talked about written testimony about NPS should not have management of Kalaupapa and the letter you sent
 - To check with Department folks, is that a conversation the Department feels comfortable facilitating?
 - To be transparent
 - Possible right now given the Department is the lessor?
- AC: To be honest and frank, that is a direction that would be problematic for this administration
 - There are challenges with resources that the Department would have to commit to manage park by itself
 - o It would be hard to convince commission to go down that path
 - Not impossible
 - Serious management issues
 - Resource issues that the Department would have to commit to Kalaupapa should NPS not be there
 - Resources that the Department may not have at this time
- LB (chat): That is what I meant by "why are we here?"
 - o Brent please add "Transition Team" as an issue
- CD: Andrew, I think what you're saying is that's in the context of now
 - Thinking this conversation is short term and long term
 - Having conversation about what happens in near term, immediate, after last patient is no longer present
 - What is the longer term vision for what we can shape Kalaupapa into
 - Talking earlier, Kalaupapa presided over by 4 different govts for decades, over a century
 - This conversation is what can happen in near term future and what can happen in the long-term future
 - o Think you're saying in the near term, it may not feasible to ask NPS to step aside
- LB (chat): The lease is till 2041!
 - Please add Maui County proposal
- HA: With all due respect to my former colleagues at HH, this is part of vicious cycle where the Department, fueled by AGs whose interest is not with the Department, it's with the State of Hawai'i (SOH), enters into agreements like this, leases like this that includes

Monday, October 25, 2021 6-8pm

provisions that, in my view, violate the Hawaiian Homes Commission's (HHC) duty of exclusive loyalty to its beneficiaries

- Every time you hear what Walter and Lori saying, they're talking about things that don't seem right from perspective of a beneficiary
- Vicious cycle because whole point of these leases is to generate money so that you can avoid the problem you referred to, oh we don't have the resources
- That's what the standard answer always is, but that's by design
- See what I mean by this vicious cycle, if you have these leases that undermine the value of what they're supposed to be then you not going have the funding you need to carry out your program
- If don't sue SOH for not paying for the Mauna Kea Access Road and, instead oppose the 3 plaintiffs who went after the Commission for it
 - To make sure they pay as was passed by law
 - Not asking for special treatment, asking to follow the law
- You have this vicious cycle, no more money, no more money, no more money, but you keep making moves that ensure you not going have the money so one way to approach this like we doing now
 - Talking about it
 - Being absolutely accurate and honest that Commission wouldn't have an appetite for that given its financial situation
 - However, as beneficiaries we also have the right to pursue these concerns in the courts
 - That's why we had sued the Commission over the Mauna Kea Access Road
- Thank you Lori or whoever did analysis of lease
 - It was very thought provoking
 - Reading it right before the meeting
 - Concerns in there
 - I wish this meeting / consultation had taken place at time lease was being negotiated
 - I don't know if it was and I just wasn't part of it or however that went through
 - There would have been serious concerns
 - Under federal law, HHC held to highest standards of a trustee, highest
 - Means can only act exclusively in the interest of your beneficiaries
 - When your AGs represent SOH, then it gets confusing because we're not sure if they're acting in best interest of beneficiaries or best interests of the SOH
 - When they line up with DOT and everyone else at Mauna Kea against their beneficiaries, allowing them to get arrested on HHL, that's problematic

- Saying this because starting to see it happen again now as we're having this conversation
- Have to be clear the commission's job is us, our interests
 - That's what Walter's saying
- Lease already in place
 - One approach is to review the lease and determine whether or not it upholds the commission's trust duties
 - If it does not...
 - There's some problematic language in there
 - If lessee gets funded
 - I was like, wait, what?
 - Where else do you issue a lease and say by the way if you cannot pay us because you don't get your money maybe down the line
 - Where else does it say that
 - Is that acting in the best interest of beneficiaries
- After reading that review and those questions which I thought were very thought provoking
 - Lease is until 2041, but not if it's overturned
 - Not if court looks at it and says this was not done in best interest of HH beneficiaries
 - Done to try and facilitate a relationship
 - Not necessarily malintent here
 - But that's not the standard
 - Standard is not whether Department gets along with NPS
 - Only standard is whether or not it meets its fiduciary duties with beneficiaries
 - That's the lens you look at the lease thru and that's what whoever wrote that was doing asking all those questions
 - Earlier someone said, should there be conversation between beneficiaries and HHC
 - Absolutely, there better be
 - Just to allow us to understand the minds of those who were negotiating those lease terms
 - So I can feel comfortable as a beneficiary with what was meant
 - Just reading black and white text
 - Don't know what the context was
 - Wasn't part of the conversation, not going to assume anything
 - Some language is public, that's one dual track
 - Look at situation from that perspective
 - We love you guys but gotta make sure our assets are used properly so can generate income needed to put people on land

- But when you have terms that are questionable, it behooves us all to have that conversation based on the various questions in that review document
 - It's going to generate more questions and ultimately lead to the question of whether or not the terms of the lease uphold HHC's fiduciary duties to its beneficiaries because if it does not then a plaintiff has right to force the lease to be terminated
 - If it gets terminated gotta ask the questions
 - What happens if this relationship gets cut off in terms of what improvements are done
 - Then start getting into that
- Hope I not offending anybody on HH side
 - Stepping out of Department allowed me to see this in different way
- Hope that if it comes to it and we have to litigate that it's understood it's not personal
 - We are now acting as trustees in terms of fiduciary duty, we as beneficiaries have to take on the responsibility ourselves because we believe the commission isn't
- Cannot just keeping saying don't have the money
 - We know already that's the standard go-to move
 - We get that because it's true
 - But it's true for reasons that keep repeating themselves and we gotta stop that
 - Gotta, gotta stop that
- HH is compensated when SOH uses its land like 340 acres of roads and highways it never paid for even though there was a settlement
 - 27 years later still didn't pay
- o Commission wants to have an appetite
 - Please have appetite to go after SOH to pay what leg said it was going to do
 - Then we'll have the money commission needs to implement the Act
- o Sorry, I had to get that off my chest
- Mahalo
- LB (chat): Agreed Halealoha!!!
 - Similar to PTA and DLNR failure of duty to protect iwi
- Joseph: Brent, I wanna add my voice to this too
 - o First, I wanna thank Lori for information sent over
 - o Enlightening and helpful to get true and honest picture of situation
 - o In your list of issues, bullet #5 matters to me
 - Community voices
 - Based on material I looked at from Lori
 - Previous hearings held about Kalaupapa in past
 - I'm newer to this than Halealoha
 - o Been very enlightening working on this for last year
 - o All of this is tinkering on the edge of reality that NPS will be there

- Have to further and try to envision what it would it look like if not
 - Then get to transition team point
 - What will it look like when last kūpuna passes
 - What happens then
- Maybe that's the conversation that leads us to what we wanna see at Kalaupapa
- Thank you
- WR: Wanted to say mahalo for the ex-employee of DHHL
 - That's how we view a lot of the Department's actions
 - No mo' money, no mo' money, no mo' money
 - This side track I'm talking about, 2 different ways
 - Can try 2 ways to implement this
 - Lease is really good one
 - Legal foundation I'm sure if we examine it can be on our side
 - Other avenue I'd like to throw in is same avenue that created this park
 - Politics
 - Had meetings with Kai Kahele here on Molokai
 - Expressed concerns about Kalaupapa
 - How valuable it was to people of Kalaupapa
 - He's very interested in this if we get serious about increasing community voices
 - I'm sure those voices can influence politicians and our commissioners
 - I don't know her name, but some Indian lady going to be responsible for making some of the decisions in our parks
 - Far cry from the kinds of treatment we got from Trump
 - Maui County, our councilwoman, trying to figure out how to make Kalaupapa part of Maui County again instead of having its own county
 - Politics deserves a lot of energy and time
 - That's where I wanna get involved
 - Not so much the legal stuff in the lease
 - Lori and Halealoha them can handle that part
 - I'm willing to put energy into figuring out how politically we can get back our land
- LB (chat): Deb Haaland Secretary of DOI
- NM (chat): Deb Haaland, Laguna Pueblo, is the Sec'ty of the Interior.
- AC: Thank you for that Walter
 - And Halealoha for sharing deep thoughts, feelings and perspectives you've had not just on Kalaupapa but systemic challenges Department and commission have faced in trying to service our beneficiaries
 - Uncle Walter outlined 2 tracks to implement change
 - o Joseph articulated what maybe the discussion can also be about

- How does that end point look like
- Do these tracks get us to that end point
- o In first meeting, I brought up discussion about CA as potential vehicles
 - Uncle Walter mentioned premature to identify vehicles ahead of solution or end goal
- In that light, given what Joe articulated, what does Kalaupapa look like when last patient dies
 - Maybe that's a conversation we have to have too
 - Can look at vehicles
 - Political arena
 - Legal arena
 - Other vehicles to get us to end point
- Open to other thoughts as well
- BK: To help keep conversation going, building on what was shared
 - o Different avenues, lease, other options for action
 - We pulled this question from last meeting building on issues and what folks may want to do
 - o Adapted Aunty Lori's question about how beneficiaries negatively impacted
 - How can we correct that
 - Maybe something to dive into
 - o Andrew offered a little on how Department can support
 - They went back and talked story of how they've been supporting and ways they may be open to
 - Two options, potential ways forward in this conversation
 - Don't know if either interests folks
 - Otherwise jump to lease
 - Review
 - Folks from Department can answer questions that can be answered right now
 - Trying to avoid interpretation for their duties as employees
 - Those are some options forward for this conversation
 - Not sure where folks at right now
- CD: I'm not sure where folks at on the call
 - One message I took away from our last conversation, Aunty Lori felt we needed to expand on actual problems
 - Have honest and robust conversation on the myriad of issues
 - Does WG feel we've done that or do we need time to pick at scab and get these concerns listed down
- Halealoha (chat): Good question Cedric, I need to ponder it
- LB: Thank you for the question
 - Only get handful of us giving input right now
 - I wanted to go back to where we going
 - o Question to Hale, Walter and Joe about if we doing 1 or 2 tracks
 - Short term

- NPS going manage Kalaupapa, we gotta live with it while we reviewing the lease and the PA
- Happy to hear testimony by people other than myself
 - I provided all this testimony to HHL
 - Only response was from Jobie saying they have to look at lease
 - I've been advocating and saying NPS has been bad manager of beneficiary lands and could prove it
 - Been monitoring Kalaupapa since 1990's
 - Have so much information I could write a book
 - Hoard every document and piece of paper that has been piecemeal and arbitrary by NPS and that's the reason why I say they don't make for a good manager
 - They piecemeal planning for 40 years on beneficiary land then forced us to agree to current lease by using money as issue
 - Have docs to prove that also
 - We were coerced into current lease
 - Reason was if we kick them out, we would have to pay them back in excess of beaucoup million dollars for improvements they made up until that point
 - Department didn't want to deal with that so said we going extend this lease
- Whether lease said at end of 2041, Department is no longer going to be responsible for improvements made by NPS
- At the same time, NPS threw away the EIS and went with EA
 - Did away with that and went straight to the PA, which I no think nobody actually read
 - Nobody could have read 800 pg landscape cultural report that was only one of several documents part of whereas preamble into the PA that I asked them to strike
 - That was the reason why
- So much information but bottom line is NPS has been irresponsible in managing Kalaupapa and we can prove it
- o DHHL has been irresponsible to beneficiaries with the land in Kalaupapa
- o I'm more interested in ending the lease and finding a way forward
- o In the meantime, if we stuck, stuck
- o I no think we should have one CA because own the land
 - We have a lease agreement
 - We not a CA like the churches or anybody else and DOT and DLNR
- o In the meantime, we can try to suppress the harm that's going to be done by PA
 - When I talk about harm
 - Clear park has to make money
 - They going make money thru tourism
 - It's in writing, we have it

- Like Walter said, how we going protect Makanalua from all of this negative impacts to beneficiaries because get everything coming fast and furious
 - Including the new energy stuff and the new airport improvements
- o I can play and work together on one short and long term plan
- I can live with that if long term plan is, at the end, DHHL taking back their lands in Kalaupapa
- CD: Aunty Lori, when you were saying NPS identified as bad manager, DHHL
 contributed to that, is the relief and redress you're seeking as part of this conversation
 and the long term that HH takes back the lease
- LB: Correct
- CD: In that context, would it be the thought that Department would implement programming frameworks in cooperation with the community
- LB: Can't say right now
 - But would be for preservation of resources
- CD: My question is if DHHL takes it back, the kuleana of overseeing the settlement comes back to Department
- LB: Yeah, but you could turn it right over like other kuleana to beneficiaries again
 - If beneficiaries can come up with a solid plan and can come up with their own pathways on how to manage and fund the plan, then Department can choose to support that
- CD: Would it be the vision of this group that the criteria be set by this WG as to what that would look like
- LB: I know what I going say but I going give opportunity to everyone else to say
 - We a group
- CD: I just asking because I really do wanna firmly understand because me and Joe newest here
 - Learning and wrapping around
 - Coming from a place of trying to comprehend where we're at and
 - What the vision is
- LB: I personally have been involved in large landscape management all my life
 - Kalaupapa to scale is totally doable in my perspective
 - Having dealt with lg tracks of land on Moku O Keawe and other places throughout the pae 'āina
 - I know we have within our lāhui, in our beneficiaries, the expertise to manage Makanalua
 - Significance for me is because it's a huge repository of iwi kūpuna
 - That's what really provokes me to make sure the people who have the kuleana and responsibility to protect iwi kūpuna is our people
 - o In PA, that kuleana is given to cultural resource management team
 - Not comprised of anyone outside federal system
 - That is a red flag
 - They fought us so hard to get cultural perspective and practitioners onto that group

- That group is gonna oversee everything to do with 106 in Kalaupapa
 - o That's a red flag
- I have to go back and say it's our responsibility as beneficiaries, people of Moloka'i, Hawaiians to have that responsibility
 - To care for our iwi kūpuna and 'āina
- Resource from Makanalua to the north shore of Kalaupapa is direct
 - When Walter talks about lo'i kalo and getting back to that type of 'āina management, that's key
- While we talk about this you have bureau of ocean management coming down and looking to propose huge wind energy projects outside of Makanalua
- Nobody is holistically looking at what people want
- o Kalaupapa is microcosm of the rest of Moloka'i
- Everybody always looking at us to do something
 - We be the perfect place to do this and that
 - We also the perfect place to have our resources still intact and live our subsistence lifestyle
- o That needs to be protected in Makanalua as well
 - If everyone goes back to beginning and how land was divvied up, they going find it was pretty arbitrary to begin with
- DHHL should take all of Makanalua and DLNR shouldn't have purview over Makanalua
 - Think legally we can because the way it came about
 - It was pretty much jan ken po
 - You take this, I take that
- I think we can
 - I wouldn't push the issue if I didn't think we have resources or expertise to manage Kalaupapa
 - I really feel we do
 - Federal government has to do a lot of clean up from poor management decisions made there
 - Other than that...
- Walter and Hale what you think
- WR: I'm a homesteader but I don't get involved with homestead politics
 - All they wanted to was, once a year, raise money for scholarships on Kūhiō Day
 - That didn't turn me on
 - That's basically what's been happening on Moloka'i
 - No real political organizing and all that kind of stuff
 - Times changed recently
 - We had like 15 different homestead association over here
 - Every time someone get mad, they form an association
 - Now we have this crazy wahine from Kaua'i
 - Been organizing statewide
 - Took a lot of cracks

- Had real DC type attitude
- Right now says she has office in DC and someone manning the office
 - That's huge shift
- o She picked someone on Moloka'i to be her second in command
- Now on Moloka'i we not just talking about scholarship money during Kūhiō Day
 - Lots of issues, zoom meetings, organizing going on
- Little bit impressed with ability of Moloka'i to come together
- Timing is pretty good
- Back up what Lori is saying, with issues like this, couldn't have come at better time on island of Moloka'i
- o Don't know why our councilwoman is being quiet in all of this
 - Been active with everything going on
 - Knows pulse of Moloka'i better than anybody
 - Another person interested in Kalaupapa
 - As budget chair for county, we can dispense of some of the no more money HH poor thing kine attitude
 - Think Keani needs to say something to give us all hope
 - She wants to make Kalaupapa part of Maui County
 - I trying to make Kalaupapa part of Moloka'i County
 - Sooner or later both of us going to reach our goals
- KRF: Mahalo Uncle Walter
 - o I was being quiet because I wasn't invited to the meeting
 - I invited myself
- WR: You the generation we depending on
- KRF: I have a bill going to legislature this year for their consideration to dissolve Kalawao County and put it under Maui County
 - Going over as Maui County's legislative package
 - We also are looking at amending our charter to prepare to have Kalawao County under Maui County so it'll be included under the purview of Moloka'i Planning Commission
 - I agree with everything Aunty Lori and Uncle Walter have shared
 - NPS and federal government make me nervous
 - 2 saints down Kalaupapa
 - Makes sense for them to try to cash in to those interested in a miracle
 - That's what they do
 - Find saints, pray and try to be healed
 - Even if not Waikīkī type tourism, it's still form of tourism
 - People who have money to make pilgrimage and get exclusive access to the area
 - If life on line and praying to saints will extend their life
 - For those with resources, no price too high
 - Does DHHL have a position with Kalaupapa being under Maui County
- LB (chat): And Brother Dutton is being vetted for sainthood so may be 3 saints
- AC: Don't think we have one

- Haven't considered what that would look like
- One thing that came to mind is whether it's Kalawao County, Maui County, or Moloka'i County, the HHC has the land use authority
 - In other areas, our partnerships with various counties are good in some areas, could be better in some so I don't have a position
 - Would have to think about how being a part of Maui County would help the Department better steward these lands and how or if the county would get involved in management decisions down there
- Have more questions than thoughts right now
- KRF: Mahalo Andrew
- LB (chat): And it will be good and complimentary for management for DHHL to share
 - o kuleana under Maui/Molokai not Kalawao
- WR: One last comment
 - Other thing that gives me hope is there's a group on Moloka'i trying to buy over 50,000 acres of Moloka'i Ranch lands
 - They're in the same boat
 - Not afraid they don't have money
 - Just know valuable land on island of Moloka'i
 - Been misused
 - Our obligation to make better for future generations
 - Kalaupapa one of several big items happening here on Moloka'i
 - Have group of people that are not afraid of these kinds of challenges
 - Can handle these kinds of challenges
 - About time homesteaders start picking up some of their kuleana and this would be a really good time because everybody's got positive attitude towards Kalaupapa
- AC: Can I ask a question of the group
 - Is it too late for NPS to change?
 - Is there anything they can do the change the way they, not just manage the NPS, but interact with beneficiaries that would make them a better partner or is it too late for them already?
- HA: I would say the NPS is part of federal government
 - Subject to federal rules and federal funding through Congress and that's why the language was included in lease
 - They may be the ones managing the property but directives on how to do that comes from somewhere else
 - Not a matter of whether they have the wrong person or right person there, it's that they come with federal regulation and baggage
 - You get the whole thing
 - As a result you pick any part
 - Right now DOI is conducting consultation on its NAGPRA rules
 - One of the questions they posed to interested parties is whether or not to remove NAGPRA program from NPS management
 - How can they manage a program they're subject to comply with

- That's why you don't have any investigations or violations on NPS lands
- Because they're managing the very program they're subject to
- That's the kind of quagmire you run into irregardless of who's running it
- I don't know if it's a question of whether or not they can change
 - For me, it's whether or not the terms of the current lease properly support the beneficiaries
 - Exclusively
 - Supposed to act solely in the interest of the beneficiaries
 - That's the standard
- Writing tag note that legal review of the lease could result in termination of the lease or renegotiation of lease terms to make terms more appropriate and palatable by beneficiaries such as
 - Lessening the length of lease
 - Not til 2041
 - If get shitty terms and locked in for that long, I would argue you're violating your trust
 - What terms would beneficiaries believe would be acceptable
 - As keeps getting said over and over, why we in this position when we're the landowner
 - What the hell's going on
 - When they're the landowner, they make the rules
 - When we're the landowners, they still make the rules
 - Something's wrong
- o So if we can have that analysis and figure out where that sweet spot is
- I'm not sure I oppose to tourism in Kalaupapa, just don't like that NPS benefits from it
 - That's what I have issue with
 - If HH is to take it back, then key is an economic engine to make it all work
- Don't know if I'm willing to dismiss it, but I don't like the way it's currently structured
- LB (chat): Correct Halealoha!
 - Well said Halealoha
 - wolf watching the hen house
 - I agree with that assessment Halealoha
 - Exactly WTH!
- KRF (chat): 6
- CD: Is that something we as WG, is there some agreement on Hale's position on visitor industry
- HA: I just throwing out what I'm thinking, yeah
- CD: Yeah, just wondering
- HA: Ultimately it gets to our people and everybody weighs in
- CD: Asking because ultimately this group starts to shape criteria of what we find valuable and what we'd like to see in overall governance in Kalaupapa
 - Whether that governance is with NPS for a little while

- To the Department
- Don't know what it looks like but hope this group shapes the criteria that we vet for entity that has responsibility to implement what we're discussing
- LB (chat): I can support managed access
- HA: When council woman asked Andrew if DHHL has position
 - Vetted thru AG office
 - Legal implications
 - Impacts to trust
 - Ability to operate
 - All of that
 - o To me, therein lies the problem
 - If commission wants to ascend to higher responsibility to people, step 1 is do what Aloha did and hire independent counsel because AGs act on behalf SOH, rather than beneficiaries
 - Living that right now
 - Commission said nothing when AGs tried to dismiss our lawsuit and our lawsuit intended to get the Department paid for illegal use of land that's never paid a dime for
 - Yet Commission silent when state moved to dismiss the lawsuit
 - You don't get to force the state to pay the Commission like the law says
 - Commission stood silent
 - No position like yeah, we support this
 - Get funding for our trust
 - o Those are examples I mean
 - o If this will be effective, HH, like OHA, gotta have independent legal advice
- CD: For the record, we going in for the second time with that bill
 - Went with the bill last session
 - Going in with the bill again this session, independent counsel
 - o I wrote it in my November column for Ka Wai Ola
 - Think there's a place where there's agreement
 - Dept putting forward independent counsel as a priority measure going in with our legislative package
- HA: Awesome, so great to hear
 - o Mahalo nui
- CD: Yep, we there with you
 - I think for all the same points you highlighted
- HA: Mahalo
- WR: Like to comment on Halealoha's reference to tourism
 - I've been staunch fighter to protect Moloka'i from what's happening to rest of islands where tourist number one
 - o Pandemic changed lot of people's attitudes toward tourism
 - o Tourism is never going to be the same
 - o In next 10-20 years, will have lots of controls over tourism

- Could be good problem or opportunity for homesteaders on Moloka'i to deal with what NPS was looking at to be their cash cow
- All of us here on Moloka'i trying to preserve fishponds, ahupua'a, taro patches, and those kinds of things
 - Tourist industry offering paid tourists to come work on our problem areas
 - Tantalizing offer they're making
 - Going on right now
 - Tourism thing might be changing just in time for us to take over Kalaupapa
- KRF (chat): Please let the homestead associations know about independent counsel, so that they can support it with testimony.
- CD (chat): More details can found here on DHHL's legislative package: http://dhhl.hawaii.gov/government-relations/
- KRF (chat): Mahalo!
- CD: Mahalo Uncle Walter
 - Nancy, see you have your hand up
- NM: Mahalo, just wanted to respond to a comment Halealoha made
 - o Correct me, Halealoha, if I'm stating this wrong
 - Didn't like how NPS is the one running tourism there
 - What would be some avenues for beneficiaries to be actively managing visitors
 - Want to review how DHHL and planning office originally approached this during beneficiary consultations during the GMP process
 - We would be giving feedback to NPS
 - Agree with Halealoha they are constrained by federal rules and regulations and their planning process and all that, but they came up with idea of CA as way to affirm with something they have to be held accountable to for active participation, direction, the contracts with visitor service providers that would be beneficiaries
 - Concession would be run by beneficiary companies or bidders
 - Way to facilitate greater participation and economic opportunities for Moloka'i topside people
 - Review that was our framework
 - Kaleo and Darrell Yagodich to start with
 - Thinking this might be the best way forward
 - o If we could get feedback from beneficiaries or members of this group
 - Is that still viable
 - Something that could work in short term
 - That would hold NPS accountable because it's something they sign onto
 - Got the strongest language possible
 - Might be able to be reinforced by looking at the GL language as well
 - For now, something that would ensure strong participation and involvement and preference for beneficiaries

- Just want to throw that out there
- HA: Quick response
 - As a structure, would be viable approach
 - Devil's in the details
 - Function of whether or not beneficiaries would have the ability to negotiate terms favorable to them
 - 31 years of experience dealing with the feds
 - Rarely do you have that kind of power
 - Process is geared because of heavy regulatory process they're subject to
 - o If beneficiaries can negotiate at arm's length, then yes in theory that would work
 - o If subject to, have to have this insurance, this, this and this
 - By the time they're done, whatever money they make just goes to qualifying for contract
 - Is that really benefiting our people
 - If can be set up properly then yes
 - Gotta make sure it is and not terms more favorable to feds so therefore they're just barely getting by
 - In my mind, that wouldn't be proper
 - Thank you for sharing that, I appreciate it
- LB (chat): I agree I have spent my life dealing with the feds as well and Halealoha is correct.
- BK: Given what you shared, are there other issues you want to share more about
 - o Questions on Department side in our prep around energy issue
- JL: Wanted to go back to initial question, has it gone too far with NPS?
 - One of my things I'm extreme optimist
 - Tend to look at people from really good light
 - Agree with Halealoha
 - Take really good people and put them into system, it's different
 - It changes everything
 - o Question Nancy brought up about CA comes down to our experience with NPS
 - Looking in terms of GMP and PA, some of the other encounters we had, I am not optimist
 - I wonder if any of that matters
 - New superintendent coming in
 - Sure she's a fine person
 - NPS has had 5-6 different superintendents over past 20 years
 - Even if she's the best superintendent we ever had, we have another one coming in another few years anyway
 - Structurally it doesn't work
 - Only way is over time
 - o Don't know if DHHL can cancel lease without repercussions but
 - Lease will end
 - Goal should be that DHHL will take back Kalaupapa

- I get hesitant with these agreements we talk about because track record hasn't been great
- LB (chat): And this is why there is still no memorial!
 - Beneficiaries need to "drive the car"
- NM (chat): With a Native American Sec'ty of Interior and Native American head of NPS get chance?
- BK: Have about 35 minutes
 - Other issues
 - Were also going to walk thru lease and answer basic questions
 - o On Department side, them getting clarification to go back and ask questions
 - Would be most likely with AG
 - Something to keep in mind
 - o Other issues folks want to bring up or Department folks want to ask about
 - Or good time to shift to the lease
- CD: I think we have a lot to work on with lease already
 - Would like to ask as far as reviewing the lease and providing responses to some of the questions
 - Not going to be comfortable with opinion of the Department of the AG
 - Agree on that, yeah
 - Some nods
 - o Where should we look to give us some honest feedback on terms of lease
 - If we going ask somebody to take a look and let us know if we're getting a good deal or not, where's a place we might be able to get feedback and would be confident that we're getting an honest assessment
- HA: Native Hawaiian Legal Corp and Ka Huli Ao (KHA)
- CD: We may need help from this group
 - Lease is public document
 - I think we've given everyone the full document
 - I don't know that the Department can ask
 - Maybe we can ask
 - Andrew do you recall us asking those groups for help
- AC: We ask KHA for help all the time, specifically with regards to our water advocacy efforts
 - I don't mind asking
- CD: I don't think it hurts
 - Maybe we just make the ask
 - Can circle back to this group thereafter
 - Think that may accomplish what we were talking about tonight with regards to the lease
 - Based on feedback, there's deep questions we need folks with greater understanding of that type of language to comment on
- NM: Malia Akutagawa got her students to work on the East End Policy statement and the county's Moloka'i Island Community Plan
 - That was pretty amazing stuff

- CD: I'd like to address lease in that fashion
 - Get feedback from 3rd parties
 - o Bring that feedback back to this group for review
- LB: Between all of us on call tonight, at least beneficiaries
 - Glad Keani here tonight as well as graduate of the school
 - I'm also volunteering on newly formed energy co-op for the island of Moloka'i
 - We've had students coming out of the woodwork asking if can help us
 - All 2nd and 3rd and Masters students
 - Seeking out environmental law
 - Practice certificates and degrees
 - We can shake the tree too
 - Something as simple as can you bite this lease and tell us what you think
 - Tangible and asking for deliverable
 - Not something they gotta create
 - Can also put out feelers to help with that
 - Yeah, Keani?
 - Love that we get so much Hawaiians with law degrees
 - In urban development as well
 - Crunching numbers from the lease
 - Development
 - 'Āina
 - Everything
 - Think we can help
 - Would love to take deep dive into lease and get some law people interested in looking at it
 - I volunteer
 - I know Brent is already
 - Call 'em out Keani
 - Good idea CD
- KRF (chat): Brent is a Richardson Law School graduate too!
- WR: Brings up point I wanted to ask about
 - Sent in Keani's name to be part of this group
 - I guess she felt she wasn't supposed to be part of this group
 - Also sent in name of a person that's been helping me with this issue for 10 years now
 - Wanted her to be part of this group because I wanted to push this political part
 - Even though get laws and lease, still going get politics involved with the laws
 - Wanted Keani's name to be accepted and Kehau to also be accepted
 - Sent my emails to NM
- NM: I forwarded them, Uncle Walter
- AC: I think that transitions us to another part in agenda where we wanted to talk about these recommendations with the larger group
- BK: Thanks Uncle Walter for bringing that up
 - Others you folks want to add

- Purpose of this group is evolving, should we invite others we'd have to get clear on what next meeting would be about
- Sounds like with lease there's things Department has around issues they can look at with this group
 - Thanks Aunty Lori for sending over the document and this group for adding your thoughts
- Other issues tied to lease
 - Get feedback internally and from outside eyes
 - KHA and Malia, Department will reach out to
 - Aunty Lori and Keani will reach out to folks you come into contact with through the co-op
- Next meeting would be to report back on what folks have heard
 - Not sure how long that will take
- Talk about near- and long-term strategies
 - Near term when lease is up or could be renegotiated to be shortened
 - Don't know about that
 - Openness to that
 - Need clarity on what might those tactics be
 - Which issues connect with lease provisions
 - o Issues to collaborate on in near term
 - o Aunty Lori laid out a number of priority issues
 - Chatting in the PA
 - Wanna jump in on other near term
- LB (chat): I would like to recall the PA
- HA (chat): A legal review of the lease could result in a termination of the lease or revisions to the lease terms including a shorter duration during which time DHHL actively consults its beneficiaries of Molokai as to how what optimal terms could look like and to create authority for beneficiaries' ability to negotiate at arm's length for any contracts for services.
- JL (chat): I agree with the need to talk about the Programmatic Agreement
- AC: Before we leave the discussion of group membership that Walter raised, wanted to remind everyone that the staff presented criteria to the Commission for selecting people for group
 - Criteria were
 - Beneficiaries of HHL on Moloka'i Island waitlist
 - Applicants impacted by any decisions related to Kalaupapa
 - Beneficiaries on HHL who have family members buried in Kalaupapa
 - Lineal descendants of Native Hawaiian 'ohana who were family that were displaced in 1865
 - DHHL beneficiaries who have participated consistently in the NPS GMP and Section 106 consultation processes
 - Beneficiary representative of Ka 'Ohana O Kalaupapa
 - Those were the criteria used to select you folks
 - Wanted to put that out there

- WR: Read part about actively involved from before
- AC: DHHL beneficiaries who have participated consistently in NPS' GMP and/or Section 106 consultation process
- LB: Keani would qualify
 - Patricia has written detailed legal, and concise testimony throughout the years
 - From inception of GMP all the way
 - She's another lawyer
 - Would be good to have
 - I have no opposition to having her
 - Whether she meet the criteria, I don't see the criteria
 - I know what was
- AC: I go put 'em in the chat
- AC (chat): Beneficiaries of the HHL who are on the Molokai Island wait list. These
 applicants will be impacted by any decisions relating to Kalaupapa.
 - o Beneficiaries of the HHL who have family members buried in Kalaupapa.
 - Lineal descendants of Native Hawaiian 'ohana who were displaced from Kalaupapa in 1865.
 - o DHHL beneficiaries who have participated consistently in the NPS General
 - Management Plan and Section 106 Consultation processes.
 - o A beneficiary representative of Ka 'Ohana O Kalaupapa.
- WR: Early on we were asking for help
 - Now offering people who can really help
- AC: I guess it's just discussion for the group
- CD: Does she have 'ohana buried in the settlement?
- AC: People just thinking
- CD: There's appetite from group to get her involved
 - o Took to Commission so have to figure out way to make opportunity available
- NM: Joe also submitted a name
- JL: Monica Lee Loy Morris
 - Descendant of Kalaupapa resident
 - Also a lawyer
 - Stacking ourselves up with lawyers
- NM: She's a beneficiary, right, Joe
- JL: Yes from Pana'ewa
- AC: Should've put Native Hawaiian planners
- KRF (chat): What is the definition of "consistently?"
- LB: I one closet planner
 - Definition of consistently
- NM: You're chair of Moloka'i Planning Commission Lori, so that's pretty close
- AC: My definition of consistently is you've been keeping up with NPS' outreach
- KRF: For Kehau Watson, she was involved
 - Attended several meetings and provided testimony
 - Uncle Walter, right?
- WR: Yeah

- KRF: So under that criteria, perhaps she might qualify there
 - She was attending a lot of the 106 meetings
- WR: This is her expertise
 - All these things we talking about
 - People pay her for that, we can get her for free
- KRF: For criteria approved by Commission, think she'd qualify under that one
- HA (chat): I have worked with Monica Morris on many different issues including Hawaiian Homes issues
- LB: We go ask
 - o If she does qualify, I'm in support
 - See that Halealoha is also in support with Monica Morris
 - Is that correct, Hale?
- HA: Yes, she's one of the many Lee Loy siblings
 - She's brilliant
 - Worked with her for years
 - Worked at OHA and NHLC
 - She's done plenty work
- NM: We did conceive of the first 3 meetings being focused on what would be a robust and responsive process to enlarge this conversation
 - Start with core group
 - Vet that and get to place everyone is comfortable that it was pretty solid then start adding people
 - Anyone wanna talk about framework for first 6 meetings
 - What we conceived of as the framework for the first 6 meetings
- KRF (chat): I don't know if Aunty Monica was on the 106 mtgs, but Kehau and I were.
- JL: Nancy, you're talking about when to bring them onboard
 - Would like Monica to be there for presentation on the lease
- NM: OK
 - Brent had couple of slides
 - o In submittal that went to commission in October
 - We gave update to the Commission
 - Anybody remember what I'm talking about
 - First 3 meetings
 - 4th, 5th and 6th meetings
 - Trying to get feedback on that too
 - Planning to plan phase as they say
- LB (chat): I don't remember Monica on meetings but I am open
- LB: I remember and I thought was junk
- NM: Thank you for always being honest, Lori
 - Mahalo
- LB: If just talk about process for 3 meetings, I was going quit already
 - No waste my time
 - This is meeting 2, get small knit of people
 - So complex

- Overlapping jurisdiction issues within Makanalua over the years have been extremely complex
 - Hard to follow
 - Even NPS can't follow
- I've spent good chunk of my life to make my own timeline and find the insufficiencies
- Agree with Halealoha cuz he participated in fed processes and I participated in fed processes for most of my life as well
 - They stuck
 - So much CFRs and so much compliance
 - That's how they run
 - That's why they don't make for good overall manager of our Makanalua
- o I like participate in one process where we already know where we're going
 - I wrote something down
 - Short term status quo what does that look like
 - For me
- o PA
 - Because it's fresh
 - Was just signed
 - Roadmap of NPS of how they going operate tomorrow
 - Right now PA is bad
 - We gotta fix that
 - Had discussion with Chair about it
 - Said if it's that bad, we can revisit it
 - DHHL has authority to revisit as signatory
 - Let's revisit, happy to work on PA
 - Did most of heavy lifting already in the beginning when none of my suggestions were accepted
 - I already have a lot pointed out on discrepancies within the PA
 - I'm happy to work with any lawyer
- 2nd part is long term
 - Agree with Halealoha if lease says in violation of lease and we like terminate the lease, then
 - Let's look at what that looks like and what the transition would be
 - Didn't even talk about secret transition that has been in place discussing the transition of Kalawao County from DOH to NPS
 - Seen zero correspondence
 - Don't even know who's on this team
 - In 2018 didn't exist
 - Wanted to know from Department

- They must be on transition team
- If so, what was this upper level planning to do with transitioning away from DOH to NPS
- That's the wild card too
 - I don't' know what's going on there
- Work on lease with the intent to see if there's a lease violation and if can renegotiate the lease or
- If can renegotiate the PA if not trying to totally get rid of NPS, which is my final checkmark
 - Put my checkmark to get rid of NPS
- KRF (chat): That's right, Aunty Monica is a descendant of Kalaupapa.
- WR: Think that's where Kehau would be really valuable in the PA stuff
 - That's her expertise
 - You worked with her before, Lori?
- LB: Yeah, she doing energy now for AES
 - I just when testify last week
- CD: Aunty Lori, under the...If ok under the bullet of get rid of NPS, include in this
 conversation, envisioning what that looks like afterwards and establishing criteria for
 whoever has governance of that area
 - o DHHL, beneficiary organization or what have you
 - Need to be able to, coming out of this group is some shape or form, establish clear benchmarks so there's not another group here in 40 years questioning why these guys got the disposition for that area
 - Whoever has disposition for that area is accountable to beneficiaries
- LB: Happy to participate in our plan
- CD: Thank you BK
- WR: CD say what you said in different terms
 - Disposition... couldn't follow
- CD: Whoever going be in charge of land
 - Right now NPS has lease
 - o If we get rid of NPS, land comes back to DHHL
 - DHHL can oversee property
 - That could be one course of action or
 - Property could be leased to beneficiary organization
 - One option as well
 - Either way, need clear criteria set forward so whoever it is, is serving beneficiaries appropriately
 - And there's benchmarks and goals included so later down the road, 30-40 yrs, can hold whoever overseeing area accountable
- LB: Similar to what you doing for ranch plans, Walter
- CD: Ranch plan with Ian Chan Hodges?
 - Those guys
- WR: Yeah

- AC: Aunty Lori, when we revisit PA, what is intent
 - o To make sure NPS doesn't do additional harm to resources
 - o What is outcome we want from the review of the agreement
- LB: What you like
 - On all fronts it sucks
 - Whatever framework you want, I can show adverse impacts to beneficiaries because of way PA is set up
 - I can point out every wrong thing with each item of the PA for you to review and you can decide
- AC: Review PA to avoid adverse impacts to beneficiaries
- LB: Right now beneficiaries don't have seat at table within the PA
 - Authority shifted to federal group they going create to decide what goes to 106 and what not going to 106
 - Beneficiaries have no real anything in the PA
- AC: To review the PA to avoid adverse impacts to beneficiaries by providing more oversight
 - Seat at table in that process
 - Am I hearing you correctly
- LB: Yeah, but resources, the land has to be protected in perpetuity for homestead lease and whatever we going do
 - o PA, the way it's written, doesn't ensure that for beneficiaries
 - I'll write something for you Andrew
 - It's complex
- AC: Mahalo
- BK: For other folks, is this worth your time
 - To review PA
 - o Sounds like... Joe mentioned worth time for legal review of lease
 - Different feedback you get from outside eyes
 - Talk about transition team and what they're doing
 - Not only getting rid of NPS but also envisioning what that looks like after establishing criteria for whoever governing and accountability to beneficiaries
- Joe: You're right
 - o To add to what Lori said, not just what PA says, it was the way it was developed
 - Beneficiaries had no input
 - Still a lot of issues hanging up that were never addressed by NPS before they shut down process
 - Just wanted to add to Lori's point
- CD: Do we have some of those issues available
- Joe: We can get them
 - o I'm sure Lori get 'em too
- NM: That's a lot of the parking lot issues
 - List that NPS was saying didn't come under Section 106 so we're going to put in the parking lot
- CD: Got it

- LB: Thank you for bringing it up, Nancy
 - See doc you gave us, titled Sept 8 issues plus KALA parking lot concerns
 - It's only Sept 8
- NM: Couple versions actually
- LB: I was confused
 - Was going ask you not to call it parking lot concerns
 - NPS has documents called parking lot concern, KALA parking lot concerns
 - o I don't want to confuse them because NPS concerns are lengthy
- NM: Right
- LB: This is 9/8 issues, only what we talked about in last meeting
 - Can we not call it parking lot concerns
- NM: Got it
- LB: Thank you
- BK: Sounds like this would be focus for next meeting
 - Sounds like worth the time for people on this call
 - Lots of material shared already
 - Can review
 - Less about going thru stuff and talking about what can really happen
 - My suggestion
 - o I can work with Department to see how we can help there
 - o Given folks' schedule and not sure how long review will take and other timelines
 - What makes sense for folks to meet again
- LB: Christmas already
 - End of year always like this
 - Every EA and EIS going come out at this time
 - o Everything military going do come out at this time of year
 - Hoping you not going read 'em, you too busy, but I no care, Kalaupapa is important
- KRF (chat): Always, lol
- BK: For folks with stuff on their plate
 - Outreach to 3rd parties for review of lease
 - Getting information on transition team
 - Review PA
 - How much time to prep and get ready for robust discussion
 - At very least, sounds like PA, transition team, and envisioning life after NPS, sounds near term but defer to folks and workload
- AC: Are you saying the conversation related to envisioning what Kalaupapa looks like post NPS and the criteria Cedric advocated for is something we can do sooner rather than later
 - Is that what you're saying
- BK: Yeah, in my head because doesn't like there's things to review prior to that
 - But open to being...
- AC: Along those lines, do you think, question for the group, discussion of PA, can do without further research

- Question for the group
- NM: Helps to have background in historic preservation
 - That's what I noticed
 - Managed to get good NAGPRA language in there though
 - I can write up summary of transition interagency coordination if helpful
- AC: Think you talk about that process in your submittal to the Commission too
- NM: Yeah, I can summarize all of that basically
 - Lori, I can send you those submittals if you'd like me to
- LB: Thank you
 - o So to wrap it up
- BK: No worry, Aunty
 - Saw you put 3 dates in
 - **1**1/22, 11/29, 12/13
- LB: Mondays
 - Seem like everybody plan during the week and usually Mondays open
 - o I always get something early on Monday but that's ok
 - Just so no fall off the radar
- BK: Yeah, thanks
- AC: Conflict on 22nd
- BK: Thanks Joe
 - o Thanks Keani
 - Guess it's 29th or 12/13
- LB: On 29th we all be suffering from too much turkey
 - All lethargic, but that's ok
 - If not, December 13 is good too
 - o I'm sure we can get a few...
- BK: Uncle Walter, how's your schedule
- LB: He ok
- WR: I don't have schedules
- BK: Got it
- LB: I could've told you guys that
 - o That's why I gotta call him
- BK: Sorry, just trying to make sure
 - o On 29th, were you hoping Kehau would make it as well
 - And Monica?
- WR: Yeah, whatever the date is, I'll give her a call
- JL: Same here
- BK: Ok
 - o 29th is good
 - Enough time?
- LB: Actually, I going send stuff to her and Keani to review
 - The PA stuff and the lease stuff
 - They can send em to whomever
- BK: Ok

- o Go with the 29th?
- LB: Yep, with 13th as backup date
- BK: Ok, good idea
 - Can hold both
 - o Thanks, know we're 4 or 5 minutes over on a Monday
 - o Got the whole week left
- HA: 29th of this month
- BK: November
- HA: So far it's open
- LB: We so lucky
- HA: I going adopt Uncle Walter's no schedule
 - Gotta stop having a schedule
- LB: I no can function
- BK: Hold 11/29 and 12/13 just in case
- LB: In case we suffering from too much turkey overload
- BK: Ok, aloha everyone
- LB: Thank you for one good meeting everybody
 - Thank you
 - Appreciate you